


## Purpose

- The purpose of this presentation is to:
- Walk you through the current funding formula step-by-step;
- Look at a number of illustrative examples of how the funding formula is applied to different communities; and
- Seek your feedback on ways to improve the funding formula.



## The Current RLEMP Funding Formula

RLEMP Operational Funding is calculated using the following:

1. The number and complexity of active instruments registered in the Indian Lands Registry System (e.g. permits and leases)
2. The population and land base of the First Nation
3. The level of authority that the First Nation has taken on:

- Training and Development - 80\% base funding
- Operational - 100\% base funding
- Additional funding for 53/60 First Nations

4. Funding for compliance and administration costs

There is a cap for funding at each level of authority:

- Training \& Development: $\$ 350,000$
- Operational: \$375,000
- Delegated Authority: \$400,000
$\frac{N}{1}=48$


How transactions are calculated

| c | Land and Natural Resource Activilies Uncides Envionmertal MAnagacmerit.Land Use Plant |  | Transaction <br> Volume (ILR) | Rate S | Total S | EP 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05 | Resicenilal/Cotage/Recreaiional Leases Leases | tis 200 | 0 | 392.055 | 0.00 s | Enter all the new and active transactions listed in the ILRS for the calendar year. |
| 06 | (P. Codes : 2, 4, 12, 20, 31, 46, 63) Sub Leases | 2xece20 | 0 | 130,68 s | 0.005 |  |
| 07 | CommercialRetailloases Leases <br> (P. Codes: 3. 49.50.51.56.58) SubLeases | 1ormore |  | 766.67 T | 0,005 |  |
| 08 |  | 1 crmp |  | 219025 | ग00 ${ }^{5}$ |  |
| 09 | Industrial Leases Leases <br> (P. codes: $18,39,48,51,00,73,70,98)$ Sub Leases | 10rmor | 0 | 930.26 s | 0.005 |  |
| 10 |  | 1 cmore | 0 | 465.48 s | $0.00{ }^{5}$ |  |
| 11 | Acriculture Leases 8 Pernits(Exclucing grazing)e.0 <br> Hectares | fist 3.000 | 0.0 | 21.138 | 0.008 | These are then multiplied by the rate (based on the complexity and time taken to complete each task) |
| 12 |  | nexs 3,000 | 0.0 | 2.11 \$ | 0.008 |  |
| 13 | (Areas under P. ceade: 1) | 2ever, 000 | 0.0 |  | 0.005 |  |
| 14 | Orazing Leases \& Pernits | [isi3, 000 | 0.0 | 16.908 | 0.008 |  |
| 15 |  | 0esx 3,000 | 0.0 | 1,62 s | 0.008 |  |
| 16 | (Areas under P. Code. 53) | ever6,000 | 0.0 | 1.695 | 0.008 |  |
| 17 | Natural Resources \& Other Permits <br> (P. Codes. $5,7,8,9,15,21,22,23,24,25,20,27,29,32,37,42$ $43,44,47,62,67,59,62,65,66,70,71,73,76)$ 4, $4,47,62,67,68,62,65,66,70,71,73,76)$ | 1 crmp | 0 | 273,815 | 0.008 |  |
| 18 | Evidence of Tille created by transaction in period (CO, CP, DKA NETT, LT, NE) <br> and <br> Band Council Resolutions (Sec. 18.2 only) <br> (P. Codes; $6,10,11,19,61,64,67,68,69,72,103$ ) | Tist50 | 0 | 313.125 | 0.005 | Add these all together to get your sub-total Activity Funding |
| 19 |  | 20x830 | 0 | 156.56 s |  |  |
| 20 |  | vese 00 | 0 | 78.28 \$ |  |  |
| 21 | Total: Land and Natural Resource Activity Funding |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |



How Population Size and Land Base are calculated

| D | Compliance, Adjustments \& ILH Related Activites |  | Volume | Rate S | Total S |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | Adjustmentfor Population <br> (Data Source-Band SupportFunding) | frast 200 | 0 | 2.50 S | 0.005 | Figure out your amount for population size and land base |
| 23 |  | cext 1800 |  | - | - |  |
| 24 |  | sues,2,00 |  | ans | 020 |  |
| 25 | Adiusiments forLandAea(Ha)  <br> (Data Source-ILR) 0.0 | fint 1.000 | 0.0 | 1.25 s | 0.005 | sub-total here |
| 26 |  | suest,100 | 0.0 | 0.25 s | 0.2085 |  |
| 27 | Supporfor Activies Related to Ative Evidence of Tite |  | 0 | 30.00 \$ | o.da |  |
| 28 | SubTotal: Compliance, Adjustments \& ILH Related Activities |  |  |  | 0,nos | Step 3: |
| 29 | Add: Compliance (Promolion.Monitoorica and Enforcementon PartC) 30.00\% of Total Line 21 |  |  |  | 0.005 |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  | 0.005 | Figure out your |
| 31 | Total Compliance, Adjustments \& ILH Relatec Activities |  |  |  | 0.00 | compliance, |

Be patient, there is still more math on the next few slides
$N 1=18$


## Adjustments for level of responsibility

| E | Total Funding perLevel of Respons bility (as identified in Section B) |  | Rate x Total | Total s |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | training Development | ff Line $21=50$ and Line $28=50$. then Line $32=50$ | 80\% x(C+D) | 0.005 | Based on level of |
| з3 |  | If Line $21=50$ and Line $28=50$, then Line $33=50$ | (C+D) | 0.00 s | ne), multiply your |
| 34 | Delegated Authority |  | $158 \times(0+0)+(0+0)$ | 0.005 | nding sub-totals by |
| 35 | Adoj Landuse Plan |  | $5 \%$ x line 33 or 34 | 0.00 s | or $115 \%$ ) |
| 36 | Add: Environmertal Managemeni System |  | 5\%x x line 33 or 34 | 0.00 |  |
| 37 | Add: Compliance Framework |  | 5\% x line 33 or 34 |  |  |
| 38 | SubTotal: Operational or Delegated Authonty |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | Total : Level of Responsibility Funding |  |  | $0,00 \mathrm{~s}$ | tep 5: |

Add Extra funding for the implementation of completed plans and sub-total again.

$\frac{1}{1}+2 \rightarrow \rho$


RLEMP Scenarios in Saskatchewan

| Up to \$50,000: | $1-70$ <br> Transactions | $300-10,000$ <br> People | $1,500-40,000$ <br> hectares |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 First Nations in Canada |  |  |  |
| 6 SK First Nations |  |  |  |
| \$50,000- \$150,000: | $100-600$ <br> Transactions | $\begin{aligned} & 100-12,000 \\ & \text { People } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,000-130,000 \\ & \text { hectares } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 20 First Nations in Canada |  |  |  |
| 15 SK First Nations |  |  |  |
| Over \$150,000: | 400-1,500 | 700-3,500 | 10,000-50,000 |
|  | Transactions | People | hectares |
| 13 First Nations in Canada |  |  |  |
| 12 SK First Nations |  |  |  |
| $\frac{N}{M}=2 \rho$ |  |  |  |

```
|| ladigenus and
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```

Other RLEMP Scenarios in Saskatchewan



## What we have heard so far...

- There is disparity in the funding provided to First Nations - some get very little and others receive a lot.
- The funding formula is inefficient to administer and difficult to explain
- Some First Nations do not receive enough funding to cover the costs of staff or supporting a land management office
- Some First Nations receive more than they would under FNLM
- Other land management activities that are not tied to transactions should be considered as part of the funding
- It is unclear what funding is available for environment under the current formula

```
1*| Indonous and Nond
```


## Questions for Your Consideration

- What parts of the current funding formula would you keep the same? Why?
- What parts of the current funding formula would you change? How?
- What are the top 5 things that an improved funding formula should take into consideration to meet the needs of your community?

